NEGATIVE DECLARATION

TO:



Office of Planning & Research ... P.O. Box 3044 Sacramento, California 95812-3044

County Clerk, County of San Joaquin

FROM:

San Joaquin County Community Development Department 1810 East Hazelton Avenue

Stockton, California 95205

PROJECT TITLE: Site Approval application No. PA-1600188 (SA)

PROPONENT: Fred Smith

PROJECT LOCATION: The project site is located on the north side of E. Brittany Lane, 475 feet east of N. Bryant, Northeast of Lodi (APN/Address: 007-070-49/10283 E. Brittany Ln, Acampo) (Supervisorial District

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: A Site Approval application for a private right-of-way for lot 11 of antiquated subdivision "California Village Farm Tract Subdivision "E". This parcel is-not under a Williamson Act Contract.

The Property is zoned AL-5 (Limited Agriculture, 5 acres minimum) and the General Plan designation is A/L (Limited Agriculture).

Based on the attached Initial Study, it has been found that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment.

Date:

8/18/16

Contact Person: Rick Griffin &

Phone: (209) 468-3154

Notice of Completion & Environmental Document Transmittal

Mail to: State Clearinghouse, P.O. Box 3044, Sacramento, CA 95812-3044 (916) 445-0613 For Hand Delivery/Street Address: 1400 Tenth Street, Sacramento, CA 95814 SCH# Project Title: PA-1600188(SA) Lead Agency: San Joaquin County Community Development Dept Contact Person: Rick Griffin Mailing Address: 1810 E. Hazelton Avenue Phone: (209)468-3154 City: Stockton Zip: 95205 County: San Joaquin Project Location: County: San Joaquin City/Nearest Community: Lodi Cross Streets: E. Brittany Lane and N. Bruella Road Zip Code: 95220 Longitude/Latitude (degrees, minutes and seconds): ____ "W Total Acres: 4.85 Assessor's Parcel No.: 007-070-49 Section: 15 Twp.: 04N Range: 07E Base: Mt. Diablo Within 2 Miles: State Hwy #: Waterways: Gill Creek Airports: Railways: **Document Type:** CEQA: NOP Draft EIR NEPA: NOI Other: ☐ Joint Document Early Cons ☐ Supplement/Subsequent EIR EA Final Document X Neg Dec (Prior SCH No.) Draft EIS Other: Initial Study ☐ Mit Neg Dec **FONSI** Local Action Type: General Plan Update Specific Plan Rezone Annexation General Plan Amendment Master Plan Prezone Redevelopment General Plan Element ☐ Planned Unit Development Use Permit Coastal Permit ☐ Community Plan ☐ Site Plan ☐ Land Division (Subdivision, etc.) ☒ Other:Site Approval Development Type: Residential: Units Sq.ft. Acres_ **Employees** ☐ Transportation: Type Commercial:Sq.ft. Acres_ Employees ☐ Mining: Mineral Industrial: Sq.ft. Acres Power: Employees Type_ __ Educational: ☐ Waste Treatment: Type MGD Recreational: Hazardous Waste: Type Water Facilities: Type **Project Issues Discussed in Document:** ☐ Aesthetic/Visual Fiscal ☐ Recreation/Parks × Vegetation X Agricultural Land Flood Plain/Flooding ☐ Schools/Universities ☐ Water Quality ☐ Air Quality Forest Land/Fire Hazard ▼ Septic Systems Water Supply/Groundwater ☐ Archeological/Historical Geologic/Seismic ☐ Sewer Capacity X Wetland/Riparian ⊠ Biological Resources ☐ Minerals ☐ Soil Erosion/Compaction/Grading X Growth Inducement ☐ Coastal Zone ☐ Noise ☐ Solid Waste X Land Use ☐ Drainage/Absorption Population/Housing Balance Toxic/Hazardous ☐ Cumulative Effects ☐ Economic/Jobs ➤ Public Services/Facilities ▼ Traffic/Circulation Other: Present Land Use/Zoning/General Plan Designation: Access for a future Single-family residence; AL-5; A/L Project Description: (please use a separate page if necessary)
A SITE APPROVAL APPLICATION FOR A PRIVATE RIGHT-OF-WAY FOR LOT 11 OF ANTIQUATED SUBDIVISION "CALIFORNIA" VILLAGE FARM TRACT SUBDIVISION 'E'". THE RIGHT-OF-WAY EXTENDS E. BRITTANY LANE 665 FEET EASTWARD FROM N. BRYANT ROAD, 1300 FEET SOUTH OF E. JAHANT ROAD, NORTHEAST OF LODI.

Reviewing Agencies Checklist			
Lead Agencies may recommend State Clearinghouse dis If you have already sent your document to the agency ple	tribution by mark ease denote that w	ing agencies below with i ith an "S".	and "X".
Air Resources Board	O	ffice of Historic Preservat	tion
Boating & Waterways, Department of	O ₁	ffice of Public School Co	nstruction
California Emergency Management Agency	***************************************	rks & Recreation, Depart	
California Highway Patrol		sticide Regulation, Depar	
Caltrans District #10		blic Utilities Commission	
Caltrans Division of Aeronautics	***************************************	gional WQCB #Cen	-
Caltrans Planning		sources Agency	
Central Valley Flood Protection Board		sources Recycling and R	ecovery. Department of
Coachella Valley Mtns. Conservancy S.F. Bay Conservation & Deve			_
Coastal Commission			Rivers & Mtns. Conservancy
Colorado River Board		n Joaquin River Conserva	•
Conservation, Department of		nta Monica Mtns. Conser	•
Corrections, Department of	Approximation and the second	te Lands Commission	
Delta Protection Commission	Management of the same	RCB: Clean Water Gran	ıts
Education, Department of		/RCB: Water Quality	
Energy Commission		RCB: Water Rights	
Fish & Game Region #	-	hoe Regional Planning A	oencv
Food & Agriculture, Department of		xic Substances Control, I	-
Forestry and Fire Protection, Department of		iter Resources, Departme	
General Services, Department of	decide the transfer of the tra	or and the state of the state o	
Health Services, Department of	Oth	er.	
Housing & Community Development	Oth	er:	
Native American Heritage Commission	the Common of th		
ocal Public Review Period (to be filled in by lead ager tarting Date AUGUST 19, 2016		SEPTEMBER 19, 201	6
ead Agency (Complete if applicable):	MATTER CARDA AGUAL ESCAPE SANDA I	nun sum som som stat som som bus som	and their lack dark was lack find their
onsulting Firm:	Applicant:	Fred Smith	John Droge
ddress:	Address:	8 S. Crescent Ave	517 Windsor Dr
ity/State/Zip:	City/State/Zi	p: Lodi, CA 95240	Lodi, CA 95240
ontact:	Phone:	209-329-7623	209-298-55
none:	- Contraction		
gnature of Lead Agency Representative:	Cerk (Sulfa	Date: 08/17/16

Authority cited: Section 21083, Public Resources Code. Reference: Section 21161, Public Resources Code.

SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

INITIAL STUDY

FILE NO: <u>PA-1600188(SA)</u>			
OWNER/APPLICANT:SMITH/DROGE			
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: A SITE APPROVAL APPLICATION FOR A PRIVATE RIGHT-OF-WAY FOR LOT 11 OF ANTIQUATED SUBDIVISION "CALIFORNIA VILLAGE FARM TRACT SUBDIVISION 'E". THE RIGHT-OF-WAY EXTENDS E. BRITTANY LANE 665 FEET EASTWARD FROM N. BRYANT ROAD, 1300 FEET SOUTH OF E. JAHANT ROAD, NORTHEAST OF LODI.			
RECOMMENDED ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION:			
The proposed project <u>could</u> <u>not</u> have a significant effect on the environment, and a <u>Negative Declaration</u> will be prepared.			
Although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the mitigation measures described on an attached sheet have been added to the project. A Negative Declaration will be prepared.			
The proposed project may have a significant effect on the environment, an Environmental Impact Report is required.			
ASSESSOR PARCEL NO:007-070-49 ACRES: 4.85-acre parcel			
GENERAL PLAN: A/L ZONING: AL-5			
CURRENT SITE CONDITIONS (topography, uses): Flat, unpaved extension of E. Brittany Lane; no structures; agriculture			
POTENTIAL POPULATION, NUMBER OF DWELLING UNITS, OR SQUARE FOOTAGE OF USE(S): Approval will permit the construction of one single-family-residence and the possibility to construct a Second Unit Dwelling and accessory structures			
SURROUNDING LAND USES: North: Agricultural and residential East: Agricultural and residential			
Vest: Agricultural and residential			

		7		
GE	NEF	RAL CONSIDERATIONS:		
1.		Does it appear that any environmental feature of the project will generate significant public concern or controversy?		
		Yes No Nature of concern(s):		
2.		Will the project require approval or permits by agencies other than the Coun	ty?	
		Yes No Agency name(s):		
3.		Is the project within the Sphere of Influence, or within two miles, of any city?		
		☐Yes ☒ No City:		
EN	VIR	ONMENTAL IMPACTS:		
ma	y b	may only be checked in situations where there is <u>substantial</u> <u>evidence</u> to i e a significant adverse impact on the environment if there is no chan ption. (<u>CEQA</u> <u>Guidelines</u> , Sec. 15064)	ndicate t ge in th	hat there e project
See "Ye	e thes",	e "Discussion" section at the end of the Initial Study for explanation of any or any "No" answer marked with an asterisk (*).	impacts	checked
Sou imp		es: The following sources of information have been used in determinings:	ng envir	onmental
all FEI enc plai the	Cou MA dang ns; se (al source materials and maps on file in the Community Development Departy and City general plans and community plans; assessor parcel books; flood zone maps; service district maps; maps of geologic instability; mappered species such as the Natural Diversity Data Base; noise contour maps maps and/or records of archeological/historic resources; soil reports and mapper maps and materials have been collected from other public agencies and EIR's and other technical studies.	various os and re ; specific aps; etc.	local and eports on roadway Many of
(no	te d	nal standard sources which should be specifically cited below include on- late); staff knowledge or experience; and independent environmental studie as part of the project application (note report title, date, and consultant).	site visit s submit	s by staff ted to the
1.	Wa	ater:		
	a.	Is any portion of the project subject to flood hazard? Flood zone: X	∐Yes	⊠ No
	b.	Will the project result in reduction of surface or ground water quality or quantity?	∐Yes	⊠ No
	C.	Will the project result in increases to surface, channel or stream volumes, or alterations to drainage patterns and streams?	∐Yes	⊠ No
	d.	Will the project result in erosion of or sedimentation to a channel, river, or body of water?	∐Yes	⊠ No

Other sources used:

2.	E	arth:	
	a.	Will the project result in or be subject to potentially hazardous geologic or soils conditions on or immediately adjoining the site (slides, springs, erosion, liquefaction, earthquake faults; steep slopes, septic tank limitations)?	∐Yes ⊠ No
	b.	Will the project involve substantial grading which could result in secondary impacts (consider amount, steepness, and visibility of proposed slopes; consider effect of grading on trees and creek channels)?	∐Yes ⊠ No
	C.	Will there be conversion of prime farmland (over 40 acres and not shown for development in the General Plan)?	□Yes ⊠ No
O	ther	sources used:	
3.	PI	ant/Animal Life:	
	a.	Will there be a reduction or disturbance to any habitat for plants and animals (including removal or disturbance of trees, riparian areas, or migration routes)?	∐Yes ⊠ No
	b.	Will the project impact any rare, endangered, threatened, or recreational species located on or near the site? (Check the Natural Diversity Data Base)	□Yes ⊠ No
Ot	her	sources used: Natural Diversity Database	
4.	<u>Ai</u>	r/Climate:	
	a.	Will the project make a significant contribution to the deterioration of existing air quality, including creation of objectionable odors; will future project residents be subjected to significant pollution levels?	□Yes ⊠ No
	b.	Will the project result in any impact to current climatic conditions? (Consider the introduction of water features, loss of wetland, etc.)	□Yes ⊠ No
Otl	ner :	sources used:	
5.	No	ise;	
	a.	Will the project expose people to high noise or vibration levels (over 65db/Ldn for residential uses or 75db/Ldn for industrial uses at the property line)? (Check 2010 projected noise contours.)	□Yes ⊠ No
	b.	Will the project result in increased noise or vibration levels?	□Yes ⊠ No
Oth	ner s	sources used:	
		ergy/Natural Resources:	
		Will the project use substantial amounts of fuel or energy, require a	
-			

- 3 -

Rev 5-00

Initial Study

		substantial increase in demand upon existing sources, or require the development of new sources of energy?	∐Yes	⊠ No
	b.	Will the project affect the potential use, extraction, conservation, or depletion of a natural resource (other than agricultural land)?	∐Yes	⊠ No
Ot	her	sources used:		
7.	Ha	azards:		
	a.	Will the project create a risk of explosion; release, generate or store Any hazardous substances; or cause other dangers to public health and safety?	∐Yes	⊠ No
	b.	Are there any known hazardous substances located within 500 feet of the boundaries of this project, if in an existing or proposed residential area (this could include possible groundwater contamination)?	∐Yes	⊠ No
	C.	Will the project result in interference with, or need, for emergency plans?	∐Yes	⊠ No
Ot	her	sources used:		
8.	<u>Ut</u>	ilities and Public Service:		
	a.	**Will the project require alteration of, addition to, or the need for new utility systems (water, sewer, drainage, solid waste), including a sphere of Influence or district boundary change?	□Yes	⊠ No *
	b.	**Will the project result in the need for or the expansion of the following services: fire and police protection, schools, parks and recreation, libraries, roads, flood control, solid waste or other public works facilities, public transit, or governmental services?	∐Yes	⊠ No
	C.	Will the project adversely impact existing recreational/park opportunities or require new park/recreation opportunities?	∐Yes	⊠ No
Note: ** "Yes" answers to these two questions and 9 (b) below will require additional discussion, but do not necessarily indicate a potentially significant impact.				
Oth	ner s	sources used:		
9.	Tra	nsportation/Circulation:		
	a.	Will the project generate significant traffic volumes and/or make a significant contribution to an existing circulation problem (consider existing LOS on nearby arterials and highways, road design, access, parking, accident potential)?	∐Yes	No *
	b.	**Will the project cause special transportation considerations (consider water-borne, rail, air, pedestrian, and bicycle traffic, and public transportation systems and parking facilities)?	∐Yes	⊠ No
		Will the project result in a significant increase in commuting to and from the local community?	∐Yes	⊠ No
	-		***************************************	-

d.	Will the project be impacted by or interfere with an airport flight path?	□Yes	⊠ No	
e.	Will the project restrict access to the surrounding area?	∐Yes	⊠ No	
Other	sources used (note traffic studies):			
10. <u>C</u> ւ	ultural Resources:			
a.	Will the proposal result in an alteration of a significant archeological, or historical site, structure, or building?	∐Yes	⊠ No	
Other	sources used:			
11. <u>Ho</u>	ousing:			
a.	Will the proposal adversely affect the existing housing stock or create a demand for additional housing (more than 50 units)?	∐Yes	⊠ No	
Others	sources used:			
12. <u>Ae</u>	sthetics:			
a.	Will the project obstruct any public scenic vista or view, create an aesthetically offensive site open to public view, or produce new light or glare?	∐Yes	⊠ No	
Other s	sources used:			
13. <u>Lar</u>	nd Use:			
	Is this project a growth-inducing action: Will it encourage additional requests for related uses, or will it set a significant land use precedent in the area?	□Yes	⊠ No*	
b.	Will the project conflict with existing or planned land uses; is the project in conflict with any adopted plans?	Yes	⊠ No *	
C.	Will the project disrupt a natural or recreation area, impact access to waterways, or allow trespass onto surrounding land?	□Yes	⊠ No	
14. <u>Cumulative</u> :				
	Will the project create any impacts which may not be significant for the project alone, but may be significant when combined with other anticipated development of similar type and or location?	□Yes [⊠ No	
Other so	ources used:			
15. Other Impacts: Identify any other impact(s) not noted above which may be significant, and cite source(s).				
NO	NE KNOWN.			

-5-

Rev 5-00

Initial Study

Mandatory Findings of Significance:

(A "Yes" answer to any of the following questions requires preparation of an EIR.)					
a. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment or curtail the diversity in the environment?	∐Yes	⊠ No			
b. Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals?	∐Yes	⊠ No			
c. Does the project have impacts which are individually limited but cumulatively considerable?	□Yes	⊠ No			
d. Does the project have environmental impacts which will cause substantial, adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?	□Yes	⊠ No			
16. DISCUSSION OF ANY ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS NOTED ABOVE.					
(Discuss any questions answered "Yes" above, as well as any "No" answers marked with an asterisk (*). Discuss any changes to the project which could mitigate the identified impacts. Discuss any proposed mitigation monitoring program submitted by the project applicant. Use additional attached pages if necessary.)					
PLEASE SEE INITIAL STUDY ATTACHMENT PA-1600188(SA).					
Prepared by: Resk Gruffe					
Title: Associate Planner	2				
Date: 8/16/16					

INITIAL STUDY CONTINUED PA-1600188(SA)

A SITE APPROVAL APPLICATION FOR A PRIVATE RIGHT-OF-WAY FOR LOT 11 OF ANTIQUATED SUBDIVISION "CALIFORNIA VILLAGE FARM TRACT SUBDIVISION 'E". THE RIGHT-OF-WAY EXTENDS E. BRITTANY LANE 665 FEET EASTWARD FROM N. BRYANT ROAD, 1300 FEET SOUTH OF E. JAHANT ROAD, NORTHEAST OF LODI. THE GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION IS A/L (LIMITED AGRICULTURE) AND THE ZONING IS AL-5 (LIMITED AGRICULTURE, 5-ACRE MINIMUM).

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES

2. Earth

The project area contains two soil types: *Bruella sandy loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes* and *San Joaquin loam, thick surface, 0 to 2 percent slopes. Bruella sandy loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes* is a very deep, well-drained, nearly level soil found on low terraces. It formed in alluvium from granitic rock sources. Permeability in this soil is moderately slow and the available water capacity is high. Most areas are used for irrigated crops, orchards, or vineyards. A few areas are used for homesites. This soil is in capability class I (MLRA-17), irrigated, and capability class IVc-1 (MLRA-17), non-irrigated.

San Joaquin loam, thick surface, 0 to 2 percent slopes is a moderately well-drained, nearly level soil found on low terraces. It formed in alluvium from granitic rock sources. Permeability in this soil is very slow and the available water capacity is moderate. Most areas are used for livestock grazing, irrigated pasture, irrigated crops, or vineyards. A few areas are used for dryland grain crops or for homesite development. This soil is in capability class IIIs-3 (MLRA-17), irrigated, and capability class IVs-3 (MLRA-17), non-irrigated.

3. Plant/Animal Life

3. a. & b. The Natural Diversity Database does not list any rare, endangered, or threatened species potentially occurring in the project area. Referrals have been sent to the San Joaquin Council of Governments (SJCOG) for review. SJCOG will determine if the applicant may participate in the San Joaquin Multi-Species Habitat Conservation and Open Space Plan (SJMSCP) and the applicant chooses to participate, then the proposed project is consistent with the SJMSCP, as amended, as reflected in the conditions of project approval for this proposal. Pursuant to the Final EIR/EIS for San Joaquin County Multi-Species Habitat Conservation and Open Space Plan (SJMSCP), dated November 15, 2000, and certified by SJCOG on December 7, 2000, implementation of the SJMSCP is expected to reduce impacts to biological resources resulting from the proposed project to a level of less-than-significant. If the applicant chooses not to participate, then the applicant will be required to participate in a similar mechanism that provides the same level of mitigation.

8. Utilities and Public Services

8. a. Sewer service, water service, and terminal drainage are not available. Projects in the AL-5 zone are not required to have public services. Parcels zoned AL-5 may use a septic tank and well and must retain all drainage on-site. The Environmental Health Department and the Department of Public Works will determine the size of these systems.

9. Transportation/Circulation

9. a. This project is for an access road to a 4.85-acre parcel for the future construction of a single-