* September 9, 2016

Subject: Site Approval application PA-1600188

To Whom It May Concern:

I am OPPOSED to the proposed construction of a private road on an easement for Lot

11 of antiquated subdivision “California Village Farm Tract Subdivision “E”. The road, itself is

a nuisance and public safety hazard, because of its ill-placed location within an existing

residential area and along the back yards of several homes, one of which has an approved

day care center on-site.

Additionally, our neighborhood has every indication that Farm Employee Housing will be

built on Lot 11 because of the words on the site approval application that read “PROPOSED

HOUSING,” not “SINGLE FAMILY HOME.” The to-scale drawing of the housing on said

application indicates the building to be 30’x120’, a typical dimension for farm employee

housing. In addition, we have the prospective buyer’s own words that he intends to develop the

land as Farm Employee Housing. His words can be substantiated by three witnesses, including a

PG&E employee who was on site with the prospective owner, and our two neighbors.

The proposed development and use of the property changes the intended use of the

private-right-of-way as access for a single family home and, therefore, leaves us no other

choice but to oppose project PA-1600188. It is reasonable to assume that the use of this private-

right-of-way for Lot 11 and the proposed use of Lot 11 will heavily impact our roads, our air

quality, our well water, water quality, our privacy and our safety. As an example, the State

Water Quality Control Board discourages or prohibits the use of private septic systems for

single family homes on lots smaller than five acres because of concerns about adverse water

quality impacts. We understand that the applicant intends to build as many as twelve 800

* square foot homes on this site. This would be inconsistent with state water quality plans

and requirements and detrimental to the public health.

We believe there are potential adverse environmental impacts related to this

anticipated and reasonably foreseeable farm housing project. The cumulative effects of the

proposed road and proposed housing need to be evaluated now. Because they were not

evaluated in the Initial Study issued by the County, we believe the document is inadequate

and a Negative Declaration is premature. An Initial Study of all reasonably foreseeable

impacts plus those related to water, water quality, public safety and land use compatibility

need to be addressed in a new Initial Study.

In sum, this project is not compatible to the land use in our low density, rural, agricultural-

residential neighborhood. Any findings made by the County should indicate that this project

should be denied.
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Additional Comments

9 de septiembre del año 2016

**Asunto:** Solicitud de Aprobación del sitio PA-1600188

Para Quien Pueda Interesar:

Estoy en contra de la propuestala construcción de un camino privado en una servidumbrepara el lote 11 de la subdivisión anticuada E "California Village Farm Tracto Barrio" ". El camino, sí es un peligro para la molestia y la seguridad pública, debido a su ubicación mal colocado dentro de un área residencial existente ya lo largo de los patios traseros de varias casas, una de las cuales se ha aprobado unacentro de día en el lugar.

Además, nuestro barrio tiene todos los indicios de que la granja de los empleados van a construir viviendas en Lote 11, debido a las palabras de la solicitud de aprobación sitio que leer "PROYECTO DE VIVIENDA," no "CASA". El A- dibujo a escala de la vivienda en dicha solicitud indica que el edificio sea 30'x120 ', una dimensión típica para la vivienda de los empleados granja. Además, contamos con las propias palabras del posible comprador que tiene la intención de desarrollar la tierra como Granja Empleado Vivienda. Sus palabras pueden ser justificadas por tres testigos, entre ellos un empleado de PG&E que estaba en el lugar con el futuro propietario, y nuestros dos vecinos.

El desarrollo propuesto y el uso de la propiedad cambia la intenciónel uso de la privada-derecho de manera que el acceso a una vivienda unifamiliary, por lo tanto, no nos deja otra opción que se oponen a proyecto PA-1600188. Es razonable suponer que el uso de esta privada de derecha de vía para el lote 11 y el uso propuesto del Lote 11 será en gran medida afectar nuestras carreteras, nuestra calidad del aire, nuestra agua de pozo, la calidad del agua**,** nuestra privacidad y nuestra seguridad. A modo de ejemplo, la Junta de Control de Calidad del Agua del Estado desalienta o prohíbe el uso de sistemas sépticos privados para viviendas unifamiliares en lotes más pequeños de cinco acres debido a la preocupación acerca de los impactos adversos sobre la calidad del agua. Entendemos que el solicitante tiene la intención de construir tantas como doce cuadrados 800casas de pie en este sitio. Esto sería incompatible con los planes y requisitos de calidad de agua estatales y perjudicial para la salud pública.

Creemos que existen potenciales impactos ambientales adversos relacionados con el presente proyecto de vivienda granja previsto y razonablemente previsible. Los efectos acumulativos de la carretera propuesta y propuso la necesidad de vivienda que han de evaluarse ahora. Debido a que no fueron evaluados en el estudio inicial emitida por el Condado, creemos que el documento es inadecuada y una Declaración Negativa es prematuro. Un estudio inicial de todas las repercusiones razonablemente previsibles, además de las relacionadas con el agua, la calidad del agua, la seguridad pública y la compatibilidad de uso de la tierra deben ser abordados en un nuevo estudio inicial.

En resumen, este proyecto no es compatible con el uso de la tierra en nuestra baja densidad, rural, agrícola barrio residencial. Cualquier investigación realizada por el Condado se debe indicar que este proyecto se le debe negaradicionales:.

Comentarios:

Atentamente,